UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,002	08/20/2003	Masahiko Oikawa	241758US2	4857
22850 7590 06/27/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314	EXAMINER			
	DHINGRA, PAWANDEEP			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUM	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
		•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

<del>,</del>	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/644,002	OIKAWA, MASAHIKO		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Pawandeep S. Dhingra	2625		
Davia d f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
Period fo	• •				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does not so time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Ity be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	ugust 2003.			
2a)					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		•		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	·		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	plication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eceived in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.		
A44.a.b		•	•		
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	Immary (PTO-413)		
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Inf 6)  Other:	ormal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 2625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 3, lines 1-8; in particular, drawn to a image forming system for reading and storing images containing one image reading unit, one image storing unit and an image reading controller.
- II. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 3, lines 9-22; in particular, drawn to a image forming system for reading and storing images containing two image reading units, one image storing unit and a parallel image reading controller.
- III. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 3, line 23 page 4, line 6; in particular, drawn to a method of copying employing a plurality of image forming apparatuses by setting one as master image system and other as slave system, and making the master system form an image based on the image data acquired from slave system. And computer program (see page 5, lines 6-15) and computer product (page 6, lines 16-25) for doing the same.

Art Unit: 2625

- IV. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 4, lines 7-16; in particular, drawn to a method of copying employing a plurality of image forming apparatuses and setting one as master image system and other as slave system, and making the slave system form an image based on the image data received from master system. And computer program (page 5, lines 16-25) and computer product (page 7, lines 1-11) for doing the same.
- V. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 4, line 17 page 5, line 5; in particular, drawn to a method of copying employing a plurality of image forming apparatuses and setting one as master image and others as slave systems, and making both the master and slave systems form images based on the image data transferred and image data stored, respectively, in parallel. And computer program (page 6, lines 1-15) and computer product (page 7, line 12 page 8, line 1) for doing the same.
- VI. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 8, lines 2-6; in particular, drawn to a image forming system which is connected to another image forming apparatus for reading and storing images containing a remote image reading controller an image storing unit.
- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2625

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 2625

717 Oct 11 Oct 11 de 11 Doi: 1070-1-1,00

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pawandeep S. Dhingra whose telephone number is 571-270-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler Lamb can be reached on 571-272-7406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 2625

Page 6

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 11, 2007

amb

Supervisory Patent Examiner